

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,057	04/21/2004	Charles Norman Shaver	200314194-1	5954
22077	7590 04/20/2007 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2724	00, 3404 E. HARMONY 1	SPITTLE, MATTHEW D		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TORTCOLLI	15, 00 00327 2100	2111		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/20/2007		04/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		\mathcal{E}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/829,057	SHAVER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew D. Spittle	2111			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply 	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2007.	·			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 13-18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 13-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '				
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,		examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form F10-132.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation for a lise	nts have been received. Its have been received in a contract or a contract of the contract of	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

5

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 2, 4 – 11, and 13 – 18 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belkin Components (Hi-Speed USB 2.0 4-Port Drive Bay Hub User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin, in view of Cables To Go, hereafter referred to as CTG, Alcor, and Belkin Components (USB 2.0 Hi-Speed PCI Card User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin2, and evidence as provided by the USB 2.0 Specification.

Regarding claim 1, Belkin teaches a system for providing a USB port within a computer chassis comprising:

Art Unit: 2111

35

40

45

A printed wire board (PWB) (shown in the Figure on page 1) supporting a second

30. USB header (interpreted as a USB connector; Page 5, #4), the PWB being mountable
at a location within the computer chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows the device being
installed within the computer chassis);

Belkin fails to explicitly teach a USB hub, a motherboard having a first USB header, the second USB header operative to communicate with the first USB header, a voltage regulator, a third header, and an internal USB port.

Alcor teaches a USB hub controller for providing a plurality of USB ports to connect USB devices in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing (Page 1).

Alcor also teaches a voltage regulator, the voltage regulator being operative to receive a first voltage output from the motherboard (Where the voltage regulator receives this power on pin 10 of the hub controller chip (pages 5 – 6), and to provide in response thereto, a second, lower voltage output to the USB hub (Page 1, Section 1.2).

CTG teaches a motherboard having a first USB header (Product Features, paragraph 1; Installation Guide, Step 5-1) extending outwardly from the motherboard and configured for mating with a connector of a communication cable, as well as a second header (interpreted as the connective wiring that connects the motherboard header to the external USB port as shown in the Figure on the Product Features page).

Belkin2 teaches an internal USB port (Page 5, PORT 5) for the purpose of providing USB functionality to internal USB devices.

50

55

60

65

70

Examiner notes that Belkin only teaches that the PWB must be connected to a USB host in order to function (page 5). One way of doing this would be to connect it to a USB host controller on a computer motherboard, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, Step 5-1). Another way would be to connect it to a USB host adapter card, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, Step 5-2). Examiner notes that the only difference between these two types of connections is the cable that is used, whether it is a header-type cable or a USB A-type cable. For this reason, Examiner finds that the PWB of Belkin could be connected from a motherboard having a first header.

Examiner notes that the combination of Belkin with Belkin2 and CTG provides a PWB not having a third header. However, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, step 5-2), external USB ports on the DB-525 can be connected via a USB A-type connector. Since Belkin2 teaches a single internal USB port, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to duplicate it to provide connectivity for additional devices. By duplicating another internal USB port, which could be interpreted as a **third USB** header extending outwardly from the PWB to removably mate with a connector of a communication cable. One such device could be the DB-525 unit. Thus, Examiner finds that the teachings of Belkin, Belkin2, and CTG in combination could be used to produce the invention as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to incorporate an internal USB port as taught by Belkin2 into the USB hub device of Belkin for the purpose of adding the capability to connect internal

75

80

85

90

USB devices. This would have been obvious because some internal devices (such as card readers, hard drives, etc) require an internal USB connection.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to utilize the USB hub controller as taught by Alcor in controlling the USB ports of Belkin, and Belkin2 in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing. This would have been obvious in order to avoid damaging the attached USB devices.

Examiner notes that the hub controller of Alcor requires an upstream connection to a USB host controller as shown on page 3. One of ordinary skill in this art would find it obvious to make this connection by attaching the pins of the controller (via a second USB header as claimed) through the cable of CTG to the motherboard USB header of CTG. This would have been obvious since a USB system requires a host controller to operate, and the cable of CTG would be one method of making this connection.

Regarding claim 2, Belkin teaches the additional limitation wherein the location at which the PWB is mounted is a location other than a Peripheral Component Interface (PCI) expansion slot of the computer chassis (Belkin shows the PWB mounted in a 3.5" drive bay; page 4, Figure 2).

Regarding claim 4, Alcor teaches the additional limitation wherein the first voltage output is approximately 5 volts, and the second voltage output is approximately 3.3 volts (Page 1, Section 1.2; Page 6, pins 10, 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,057 Page 6

Art Unit: 2111

95

100

105

110

Regarding claim 5, CTG teaches the additional limitation wherein the PWB is operative to receive a third voltage output from the motherboard, the third voltage output being routed by the PWB to power the external USB port (Examiner notes that the USB bus inherently carries a voltage output on its Vbus line, as evidenced by the USB 2.0 Specification, page 18, lines 1-2. Thus, if the motherboard is "providing" the USB bus to the PWB via the CTG cable, then the motherboard is implicitly providing a voltage output (Vbus)).

Regarding claim 8, CTG teaches the additional limitation of the system further comprising:

A first USB cable having a first connector operative to mate and interconnect with the first USB header of the motherboard, and a second connector operative to mate and interconnect with the second USB header (Product Features, see "Motherboard cable");

A second USB cable having a third connector operative to mate and interconnect with the third USB header such that the third USB header communicates with the external USB port (Examiner notes that CTG has attached the external USB port via either the header-type cable or the A-type cable, to the third USB header).

* * *

115

125

130

135

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belkin Components (Hi-Speed USB 2.0 4-Port Drive Bay Hub User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin, in view of Cables To Go, hereafter referred to as CTG, Alcor, and Belkin Components (USB 2.0 Hi-Speed PCI Card User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin2, Le et al. (U.S. Pub. 2003/0210532) and evidence as provided by the USB 2.0 Specification.

Regarding claim 6, Belkin teaches a system for providing a USB port within a computer chassis comprising:

A printed wire board (PWB) (shown in the Figure on page 1) supporting a second USB header (interpreted as a USB connector; Page 5, #4), a third USB header (interpreted as the inherent connective PCB traces that connects any of the external USB ports as shown in the Figure on page 1), the PWB being mountable at a location within the computer chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows the device being installed within the computer chassis);

Belkin fails to explicitly teach a USB hub, a motherboard having a first USB header, a third USB header, the second USB header operative to communicate with the first USB header, a voltage regulator, and an internal USB port.

Alcor teaches a USB hub controller for providing a plurality of USB ports to connect USB devices in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing (Page 1).

CTG teaches a motherboard having a first USB header (Product Features, paragraph 1; Installation Guide, Step 5-1) extending outwardly from the motherboard

Page 8

Art Unit: 2111

145

150

155

and configured for mating with a connector of a communication cable, as well as a second header (interpreted as the connective wiring that connects the motherboard header to the external USB port as shown in the Figure on the Product Features page).

Belkin2 teaches an internal USB port (Page 5, PORT 5) for the purpose of providing USB functionality to internal USB devices.

Examiner notes that Belkin only teaches that the PWB must be connected to a USB host in order to function (page 5). One way of doing this would be to connect it to a USB host controller on a computer motherboard, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, Step 5-1). Another way would be to connect it to a USB host adapter card, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, Step 5-2). Examiner notes that the only difference between these two types of connections is the cable that is used, whether it is a header-type cable or a USB A-type cable. For this reason, Examiner finds that the PWB of Belkin could be connected from a motherboard having a first header.

Examiner notes that the combination of Belkin with Belkin2 and CTG provides a PWB not having a third header. However, as shown by CTG (Installation Guide, step 5-2), external USB ports on the DB-525 can be connected via a USB A-type connector. Since Belkin2 teaches a single internal USB port, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to duplicate it to provide connectivity for additional devices. By duplicating another internal USB port, which could be interpreted as a **third USB** header extending outwardly from the PWB to removably mate with a connector of a **communication cable**. One such device could be the DB-525 unit. Thus, Examiner

160

165

170

175

finds that the teachings of Belkin, Belkin2, and CTG in combination could be used to produce the invention as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to incorporate an internal USB port as taught by Belkin2 into the USB hub device of Belkin for the purpose of adding the capability to connect internal USB devices. This would have been obvious because some internal devices (such as card readers, hard drives, etc) require an internal USB connection.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to utilize the USB hub controller as taught by Alcor in controlling the USB ports of Belkin, and Belkin2 in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing. This would have been obvious in order to avoid damaging the attached USB devices.

Examiner notes that the hub controller of Alcor requires an upstream connection to a USB host controller as shown on page 3. One of ordinary skill in this art would find it obvious to make this connection by attaching the pins of the controller (via a second USB header as claimed) through the cable of CTG to the motherboard USB header of CTG. This would have been obvious since a USB system requires a host controller to operate, and the cable of CTG would be one method of making this connection.

Belkin, Belkin2, CTG, and Alcor fail to teach the chassis having mounts extending into the interior thereof and the PWB having apertures formed therethrough, each of the apertures being operative to receive one of the mounts such that insertion of the mounts into the apertures secures the PWB to the chassis.

Le et al. teach the chassis (Figures 3A, 3B, item 100) having mounts extending into the interior thereof (Figures 3A, 3B; items 333, 334);

The PWB has apertures formed therethrough, each of the apertures being operative to receive one of the mounts such that insertion of the mounts into ths apertures secures the PWB to the chassis (where the PWB is interpreted in Figures 3A and 3B as item 220, and the apertures are interpreted as mounting holes (item 221).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the mounting means as taught by Le et al. into the system of Lelong et al. for the purpose of mounting the PWB in a secure manner to the chassis to prevent damage from occurring due to the PWB physically impacting the other components.

190

180

185

Regarding claim 7, Le et al. teach the additional limitation wherein the mounts form interference fits with the apertures when the mounts are inserted within the apertures (Figure 3A and 3B clearly show an interference fit between the mounts (items 333, 334) and the apertures (item 221)).

195

200

Claims 9 – 11, 13 – 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belkin Components (Hi-Speed USB 2.0 4-Port Drive Bay Hub User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin, in view of Cables To Go, hereafter referred to

210

215

220

as CTG, Alcor, and Belkin Components (USB 2.0 Hi-Speed PCI Card User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin2, and evidence as provided by the USB 2.0 Specification.

Regarding claim 9, Belkin teaches a system for providing a USB port within a computer chassis comprising:

A chassis defining an interior (Page 4, Figure 2 shows a PC chassis);

A first Universal Serial Bus (USB) port externally mounted to the chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows 4 USB ports externally mounted to the chassis via the USB hub device);

A daughter card mounted within the interior of the chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows the USB hub device being mounted within the interior of the chassis), and having a second USB header (interpreted as a USB connector; Page 5, #4), and a third USB header (interpreted as the inherent connective PCB traces that connects any of the external USB ports as shown in the Figure on page 1);

Belkin fails to explicitly teach a USB hub, a motherboard having a first USB header, the second USB header operative to communicate with the first USB header, a voltage regulator, and an internal USB port.

Alcor teaches a USB hub controller for providing a plurality of USB ports to connect USB devices in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing (Page 1).

Alcor also teaches a voltage regulator, the voltage regulator being operative to receive a first voltage output from the motherboard (Where the voltage regulator

225

230

235

240

receives this power on pin 10 of the hub controller chip (pages 5 – 6), and to provide in response thereto, a second, lower voltage output to the USB hub (Page 1, Section 1.2).

CTG teaches a motherboard having a first USB header (Product Features, paragraph 1; Installation Guide, Step 5-1), as well as a second header (interpreted as the connective wiring that connects the motherboard header to the external USB port as shown in the Figure on the Product Features page); wherein each said header is configured to removably mate with a connector of a corresponding communication cable.

Belkin2 teaches an internal USB port (Page 5, PORT 5) for the purpose of providing USB functionality to internal USB devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to incorporate an internal USB port as taught by Belkin2 into the USB hub device of Belkin for the purpose of adding the capability to connect internal USB devices. This would have been obvious because some internal devices (such as card readers, hard drives, etc) require an internal USB connection.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to utilize the USB hub controller as taught by Alcor in controlling the USB ports of Belkin, and Belkin2 in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing. This would have been obvious in order to avoid damaging the attached USB devices.

Examiner notes that the hub controller of Alcor requires an upstream connection to a USB host controller as shown on page 3. One of ordinary skill in this art would find

245

250

255

260

265

it obvious to make this connection by attaching the pins of the controller (via a second USB header as claimed) through the cable of CTG to the motherboard USB header of CTG. This would have been obvious since a USB system requires a host controller to operate, and the cable of CTG would be one method of making this connection.

Regarding claim 10, CTG teaches the additional limitation wherein the chassis has a Peripheral Component Interface (PCI) expansion slot (Installation Guide, see the slots shown in Step 5-2), and the daughter card is mounted at a location other than the PCI expansion slot (Installation Guide, Step 3 #2 shows the daughter card mounted in a drive bay).

Regarding claim 11, CTG teaches the additional limitation wherein the motherboard controls continuity of power to the daughter card (Examiner notes that the USB bus inherently carries a voltage output on its Vbus line, as evidenced by the USB 2.0 Specification, page 18, lines 1 – 2. Thus, if the motherboard is "providing" the USB bus to the PWB via the CTG cable, then the motherboard is implicitly providing a voltage output (Vbus). Voltage is related to power via Power = voltage * current, and therefore the motherboard is controlling the continuity of power to the daughter card.

Regarding claim 13, Alcor teaches the additional limitation wherein the first voltage output is approximately 5 volts, and the second voltage output is approximately 3.3 volts (Page 1, Section 1.2; Page 6, pins 10, 12).

270

275

280

285

Regarding claim 14, CTG teaches the additional limitation wherein the daughter card is operative to receive a third voltage output from the motherboard, the third voltage output being routed by the daughter card to power the external USB port (Examiner notes that the USB bus inherently carries a voltage output on its Vbus line, as evidenced by the USB 2.0 Specification, page 18, lines 1 – 2. Thus, if the motherboard is "providing" the USB bus to the daughter card via the CTG cable, then the motherboard is implicitly providing a voltage output (Vbus)).

Regarding claim 15, CTG teaches the additional limitation wherein there is means for securing the daughter card to the chassis (Installation Guide, Step 3 #3).

Regarding claim 18, CTG teaches the additional limitation of the system further comprising:

A first USB cable operative to interconnect the first USB header of the motherboard with the second USB header (Product Features, see "Motherboard cable"); A second USB cable operative to interconnect the third USB header with the external USB port (Examiner notes that Belkin has attached the external USB port via the PCB traces to the third USB header. However, Examiner takes official notice that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to replace the PCB traces with a cable for the purpose of flexibly positioning the USB port at a location other than attached directly to the USB hub device.).

290

295

300

305

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belkin Components (Hi-Speed USB 2.0 4-Port Drive Bay Hub User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin, in view of Cables To Go, hereafter referred to as CTG, Alcor, and Belkin Components (USB 2.0 Hi-Speed PCI Card User Manual), hereafter referred to as Belkin2, Le et al. (U.S. Pub. 2003/0210532) and evidence as provided by the USB 2.0 Specification.

Regarding claim 16, Belkin teaches a computer system comprising:

A chassis defining an interior (Page 4, Figure 2 shows a PC chassis);

A first Universal Serial Bus (USB) port externally mounted to the chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows 4 USB ports externally mounted to the chassis via the USB hub device);

A daughter card mounted within the interior of the chassis (Page 4, Figure 2 shows the USB hub device being mounted within the interior of the chassis), and having a second USB header (interpreted as a USB connector; Page 5, #4), and a third USB header (interpreted as the inherent connective PCB traces that connects any of the external USB ports as shown in the Figure on page 1);

Belkin fails to explicitly teach a USB hub, a motherboard having a first USB header, the second USB header operative to communicate with the first USB header, a voltage regulator, and an internal USB port.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,057 Page 16

Art Unit: 2111

310

315

Alcor teaches a USB hub controller for providing a plurality of USB ports to connect USB devices in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing (Page 1).

Alcor also teaches a voltage regulator, the voltage regulator being operative to receive a first voltage output from the motherboard (Where the voltage regulator receives this power on pin 10 of the hub controller chip (pages 5 – 6), and to provide in response thereto, a second, lower voltage output to the USB hub (Page 1, Section 1.2).

CTG teaches a motherboard having a first USB header (Product Features, paragraph 1; Installation Guide, Step 5-1), as well as a second header (interpreted as the connective wiring that connects the motherboard header to the external USB port as shown in the Figure on the Product Features page); wherein each said header is configured to removably mate with a connector of a corresponding communication cable.

Belkin2 teaches an internal USB port (Page 5, PORT 5) for the purpose of providing USB functionality to internal USB devices.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to incorporate an internal USB port as taught by Belkin2 into the USB hub device of Belkin for the purpose of adding the capability to connect internal USB devices. This would have been obvious because some internal devices (such as card readers, hard drives, etc) require an internal USB connection.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by Applicant to utilize the USB hub controller as taught by Alcor in controlling

325

330

320

Application/Control Number: 10/829,057 Page 17

Art Unit: 2111

335

340

345

350

the USB ports of Belkin, and Belkin2 in a cost-effective manner that provides power switch control and over-current sensing. This would have been obvious in order to avoid damaging the attached USB devices.

Examiner notes that the hub controller of Alcor requires an upstream connection to a USB host controller as shown on page 3. One of ordinary skill in this art would find it obvious to make this connection by attaching the pins of the controller (via a second USB header as claimed) through the cable of CTG to the motherboard USB header of CTG. This would have been obvious since a USB system requires a host controller to operate, and the cable of CTG would be one method of making this connection.

Le et al. teach the chassis (Figures 3A, 3B, item 100) having mounts extending into the interior thereof (Figures 3A, 3B; items 333, 334);

The daughter card has apertures formed therethrough, each of the apertures being operative to receive one of the mounts such that insertion of the mounts into ths apertures secures the daughter card to the chassis (where the PWB is interpreted in Figures 3A and 3B as item 220, and the apertures are interpreted as mounting holes (item 221).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the mounting means as taught by Le et al. into the system of Lelong et al. for the purpose of mounting the PWB in a secure manner to the chassis to prevent damage from occurring due to the PWB physically impacting the other components..

Art Unit: 2111

Regarding claim 17, Le et al. teach the additional limitation wherein the mounts form interference fits with the apertures when the mounts are inserted within the apertures (Figure 3A and 3B clearly show an interference fit between the mounts (items 333, 334) and the apertures (item 221)).

360

365

355

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to Applicant's argument that the combination of cited references in the rejection do not teach a first, second, and third USB header, Examiner agrees that none of the references individually teach a first, second, and third USB header connected with cables as claimed. In the following paragraphs, Examiner will summarize how each reference teaches key components of the claimed invention, paying specific regard to the configuration of the USB headers.

370

Examiner notes that Belkin teaches a series of USB ports that require connectivity to a USB host (page 5). This host may take the form of a host controller on a computer system motherboard, or a host controller on a USB expansion card as taught by Belkin2. The means of connection (cables) is taught by CTG (Installation Guide, step 5-1 and 5-2).

Art Unit: 2111

375

380

390

395

Belkin2 teaches internal USB ports for connecting internal USB devices (page 5). As shown by CTG, these internal ports can be used to connect additional external USB ports (Installation Guide, DB-525 device). Thus, by connecting the USB device of Belkin to a computer system motherboard, as taught by CTG, incorporating internal USB ports (the claimed third USB header) as taught by Belkin2, and then attaching the DB-525 device of CTG to one of the internal USB ports, the claimed invention, in terms of the configuration of cables and headers, is found obvious in view of the prior art.

Page 19

385 Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2111

400

405

410

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Spittle whose telephone number is (571)

272-2467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-91/99 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MDS

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 20